### Monitoring Data Record

Project Title:	R-2214A	COE A	ction ID:	200	330312
	: Mud Creek Tributary (				
					S 25 and Industrial Park Rd. in
Hendersonvill	le, NC				
Date Construc	ction Completed: March	2005		Mo	nitoring Year: (5) of 5
Ecoregion:			ligit HUC u		
USGS Quad N	Name and Coordinates: _				
	n Classification:				
	ject: 464' Urban or R				
_	ATA collected by: M. G	reen and	J. Young		Date: <u>1/26/10</u>
Applicant Info					
	: NCDOT Roadside Env			_	
	ss: 1425 Rock Quarry Ro				
	none Number: <u>(919) 861-</u>	-3772	Ema	il ad	dress: mlgreen@ncdot.gov
Consultant Inf					
Name:					
Addre					
	none Number:		Ema	ııl ad	dress:
Projec	ct Status: Comple	<u>te</u>			
Permit States each year for survival, an during the f documented that the req USACE, in required.	for the 5 year monitoring of visual inspection of control of the control of the control of the consultation with resources of the consultation with resources.	m the folg period channel s all continust occurred to not occurred.	llowing cor (summer a tability. If nue moniton or during se ccur during	mpon and v less ring v parat	nents of Level I monitoring twice winter): Reference photos, plant than two bankfull events occur until the second bankfull event is the monitoring years. In the event 5 year monitoring period, the nine that further monitoring is not
	TO REFERENCE SITES tall levels must complete this	section)			
2 overview pl Dates referen	hotos taken of site	ken at th	is site: <u>4/25</u>		2 photos at each 3/20/06, 10/18/06, 2/27/07,
	om whom additional pl				
this report.					ith photo point locations is attached with
If required	d to complete Level 3 ma	onitoring	<u>only</u> stop h	ere; o	otherwise, complete section 2.

1

#### Section 2. PLANT SURVIVAL

Attach plan sheet indicating reference photos.

Identify specific problem areas (missing, stressed, damaged or dead plantings): <u>DWQ requested that NCDOT treat the Japanese Knotweed that was located onsite.</u>								
Estimated causes, and proposed/required remedial action: NCDOT treated the Japanese Knotweed that was located on site since the summer of 2008.								
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain								
consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, river birch, black cherry, white oak, white pine, black walnut, sycamore,								
tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very thick along the streambank and in the floodplain and								
consisted of species such as Juncus sp., lespedeza, multi-flora rose, goldenrod, woolgrass, jewelweed, Scirpus sp.,								
Japanese Knotweed, and various grasses. NCDOT proposes to discontinue vegetation monitoring.								

If required to complete Level 1 and Level 2 monitoring <u>only</u> stop here; otherwise, complete section 3.

#### Section 3. CHANNEL STABILITY

**Visual Inspection:** The entire stream project as well as each in-stream structure and bank stabilization/revetment structure must be evaluated and problems addressed.

Report on the visual inspection of channel stability. <u>Physical measurements of channel stability/morphology will not be required.</u> Include a discussion of any deviations from as-built and an evaluation of the significance of these deviations and whether they are indicative of a stabilizing or destabilizing situation.

This Year 5 Winter evaluation completes the 5 year bi-annual monitoring period for the Mud Creek Tributary stream relocation. It was noted during the evaluation that a major bankfull event had recently occurred on site. NCDOT has visually documented 3 bankfull events at this stream relocation during the 5 year monitoring period. This stream relocation is experiencing some bank scouring behind some rootwads and where a beaver dam was removed. See locations and photos of these areas below. Overall, the stability of the channel is in good condition. NCDOT proposes to discontinue stream stability monitoring.

Date Inspected 1/26/10	Station Number 184+00 Additional Photo	Station Number 184+50 Additional Photo	Station Number 185+50 Additional Photo	Station Number	Station Number
Structure Type					
Is water piping through or around structure?					
Head cut or down cut present?					
Bank or scour erosion present?	Bank scouring on left bank where beaver dam was removed	Bank scouring on right bank behind rootwad	Bank scouring on right bank behind rootwad		
Other problems noted?					

**NOTE:** Attach separate narrative sheets to each monitoring report describing/discussing the overall monitoring results. Include the identification of specific problem areas/channel failures, estimated cause and proposed/required remedial action. This should include a brief discussion of any parameter that has changed significantly from asbuilt.

# Mud Creek Tributary



Photo 1 (Upstream)



Photo 3 (Upstream)



Photo 5 (Upstream)

Year 5 Winter – January 2010



Photo 2 (Downstream)



Photo 4 (Downstream)



Photo 6 (Downstream)

### Mud Creek Tributary



Photo 7 (Upstream)





Photo 9 (Overview looking upstream)



Photo 10 (Overview looking downstream)



Sta. 184+00
Bank scouring on left bank where beaver dam was removed



Sta. 184+50
Bank scouring on right bank behind rootwad

Year 5 Winter - January 2010

## Mud Creek Tributary



Sta. 185+50 Bank scouring on right bank behind rootwad

Year 5 Winter – January 2010

